UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,312	11/06/2003	Yoshinori Sekine	F-8028	8003
	7590 03/29/200 HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N SUITE 4000	D STREET		DICUS, TAMRA	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10168		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

th

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/702,312	SEKINE, YOSHINORI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tamra L. Dicus	1774		

before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	os of the data of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	oomoonoodina number of finally sei	anta di alaima					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	(*10/06/00) Fapel No(s)						
			:				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant does not provide a persuasive argument because Ishikawa teaches the same materials and order as previously set forth and Martinec teaches the same materials and order, despite Applicants contentions to not showing a Figure, as the Examiner pointed to the columns and line number that teach the invention. Prior art teachings need not be only shown in a Figure, the disclosure also includes the text within the specification.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2